UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/049,589	04/03/2002	Jaakko Vihriala	870A.0002.UI(US)	9706
29683 H A R R INGTO	7590 09/26/2007 N & SMITH, PC		EXAM	IINER '
4 RESEARCH	DRIVE		RYMAN, DANIEL J	
SHELTON, CT 06484-6212			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•	_		. 09/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination VIHRIALA, JAAKKO	
	10/049,589		
-		Art Unit	
	Daniel Ryman	2616	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Document Code - AP.PRE		2010	

## Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

•	
This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Rec	quest for Review filed 25 June 2007.
	s improper and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The request does not include reas	n filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ons why a review is appropriate. d with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	nues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from tion, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under appear is required to submit an appeal brief in according from the receipt of the notice of appears and the receipt of the notice of appears and the receipt of the notice.	als and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been all because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant cordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal ailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period opeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
<ul> <li>☑ The panel has determined the stacked claim(s) allowed:</li> <li>Claim(s) objected to:</li> <li>Claim(s) rejected: 1-18.</li> <li>Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration</li> </ul>	
3. Allowable application – A conferent Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the applicant at this time.	nce has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference action will be mailed. No further action is	te has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>Daniel Ryman</u> . OTE	(3) <u>Rein Eisenzopf</u> . RE
(2) <u>Huy D. Vu</u> . (2)	(4)